GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY APPORTIONMENT COMMISSION

Thursday, September 30, 2021 2:00 p.m. Governmental Center Commission Chambers, 2nd Floor 400 Boardman Ave Traverse City, MI 49684

Apportionment Commission Website: www.gtcountymi.gov/2488
Meeting will be broadcast on Community TV Channel 191
Video Website: https://www.tacm.tv/govtv_gtcounty.asp

AGENDA:		
1.	Call to Order	
2.	Pledge of Allegiance	
3.	Roll Call: Noelle Moeggenberg, Grand Traverse County Prosecuting Attorney Heidi Scheppe, Grand Traverse County Treasurer Chris Cracchiolo, Grand Traverse County Democratic Party Chair Lisa Trombley, Grand Traverse County Republican Party Chair Bonnie Scheele, Grand Traverse County Clerk	
4.	Public Comment	
5.	Approval of September 23, 2021 Minutes	1-2
6.	Discussion of Apportionment Plan Finalization Date	3-5
7.	Apportionment Commission Vote on Top 4 Maps for Consideration (Maps are on the Apportionment Commission Website)	6
8.	Public Comment	

Adjourn

9.

GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY APPORTIONMENT COMMISSION

September 23, 2021 **Scheduled Meeting**

CALL TO ORDER

Heidi Scheppe, Vice Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. in the Commission Chambers at the Governmental Center.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the United States was recited.

ROLL CALL

PRESENT:

Chris Cracchiolo, Noelle Moggenberg, Heidi Scheppe, and Lisa Trombley

EXCUSED: Bonnie Scheele

PUBLIC COMMENT

Bruce Moore Jeanne Easterday Rick Walter

APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 16 2021 MINUTES

Moved by Moeggenberg, seconded by Trombley to approve the September 16, 2021 minutes. Motion carried unanimously

LISA TROMBLEY REQUESTED A DISCUSSION ON DATES FOR SUMBMSSION AT THE NEXT MEETING

REVIEW OF SUBMITTED MAPS

Commission requested Clerk's staff to adjust the website to reflect valid map submissions Commission requested Clerk's staff to work with county GIS to address and possibly resolve discrepancies alleged to exist in public comment

LISA TROMBLEY TO RECEIVE AN ADDITIONAL 24 HOURS TO REWORK MAP **SUBMISSION**

Moved by Cracchiolo, seconded by Moeggenberg

Motion carried unanimously

SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT

Bruce Moore Harold Lassers Jeanne Easterday Harold Lassers

Amy Tieral Meeting Adjourned at 2:55 p.m. Chris Cracchiolo, Democratic Party Chair Lisa Trombley, Republican Party Chair Noelle Moeggenberg, Prosecuting Attorney Heidi Scheppe, Treasurer (Vice Chair)

TO:

Apportionment Commission

FROM:

Bonnie Scheele

RE:

Apportionment Plan Finalization Dates

MEETING:

September 30, 2021

I received the attached email from the Livingston County Clerk regarding the date that our Apportionment Plan should be submitted. Since there are two different opinions on when the official release date of the census data was, the law firm of Cohl, Stoker, & Toskey, P.C. gave a couple of options for how to deal with the two different dates so we don't miss the deadline.

Elizabeth Hundley

Colleagues,

The Livingston County Apportionment Commission met this morning. Mr. David Stoker of Cohl, Stoker & Toskey, P.C. provided our members an update in regards to options available to county apportionment commissions in light of the conflicting opinions on what the official release date of the census data is. He provided our apportionment commission with two options. First, we can adopt a plan prior to the October 11, 2021 deadline in case a court ultimately determines the August 12, 2021 as the official release date of the data. We then would need to hold a second meeting after October 17th but before November 15th to adopt the same plan again. This option requires the county clerk to submit the same plan two times to the Secretary of State. The second option available to us is to petition the Court of Appeals for an extension pursuant to MCL 46.407.

The Livingston County Apportionment Commission unanimously passed a motion instructing our legal counsel to petition the Court of Appeals for an extension under MCL 46.407. Mr. Stoker than asked members of our apportionment commission if we would allow other counties to join our petition if other counties so requested. Again, members of our apportionment commission agreed to allow other counties to join our petition seeking an extension if their county apportionment commission so desires.

I am in no way recommending any other county apportionment commission join our petition. Joining the petition is a decision of each county apportionment commission. I am merely passing along the information and making you aware of this option as many of you do not have access to your own legal counsel. Please contact Cohl, Stoker & Toskey, P.C. directly as I will not play a role in this matter as it moves forward from this point. Mr. Stoker can be reached at (517) 372-9000 or dstoker@cstmlaw.com.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hundley, Esq.

Livingston County Clerk 200 E. Grand River Ave. Howell, MI 48843 Phone: 517-546-0500

APPORTIONMENT OF COUNTY BOARDS OF COMMISSIONERS (EXCERPT) Act 261 of 1966

46.407 Apportionment plan; failure of apportionment commission to submit; submission by registered voter.

Sec. 7.

If the apportionment commission has failed to submit a plan for its county within 60 days but not less than 30 days after the latest official published census figures are available or within such additional time as may be granted by the court of appeals for good cause shown on petition from the apportionment commission, any registered voter of the county may submit a plan to the commission for approval. The commission shall choose from among those submitted to it a plan meeting the requirements of the laws of this state and file such plan in the office of the county clerk as set forth in section 5 within 30 days after the deadline for the filing of the commission's own plan or any extension granted thereon.

History: 1966, Act 261, Eff. Mar. 10, 1967

Rendered 9/27/2021 13:29:52

Michigan Compiled Laws Complete Through PA 83 of 2021 Courtesy of www.legislature.mi.gov



WEIGHTED RANK VOTING

- 1. Each commission member shall assign a rank of 1-4 to their top 4 plans
- 2. First choice will receive 4 points
- 3. Second choice will receive 3 points
- 4. Third choice will receive 2 points
- 5. Fourth choice will receive 1 point
- 6. Any plan a commissioner chooses not to rank will receive 0 points
- 7. At the end of balloting, the plans with the most points awarded by the commission will be advanced for further discussion.